

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ELBERT LEE VAUGHT,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-1108 DAD P

vs.

B. MIRANDA, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____ /

On September 2, 2011, counsel for defendants filed a request seeking clarification of the court’s April 7, 2011 discovery and scheduling order. Specifically, defendants seek clarification of the court’s order that requires “[a]ll pre-trial motions, except for motions to compel discovery, shall be filed on or before September 30, 2011.” (Order (Doc. No. 29), ¶ 7 at 6.) Defendants note that they have already filed a cross-motion for summary judgment, and ask if “pre-trial motions” referred to in the scheduling order includes motions in limine.

The court’s discovery and scheduling order does not set a deadline for the filing of motions in limine. A deadline for the filing of motions in limine, if necessary, will be set in this court’s pretrial order. Defendants’ cross-motion is a dispositive motion and was timely filed.

////

////

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Accordingly, defendants' September 2, 2011 request for clarification (Doc. No. 41) is granted.

DATED: September 19, 2011.



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:4
vaug1108.clar