1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 THOMAS HEILMAN, No. 10-cv-01120 JAM DB 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. **ORDER** 14 L. SANCHEZ, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 18 19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On February 17, 2016, defendant filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 64.) 21 On June 16, 2016 and October 21, 2016, the magistrate judges filed findings and 22 recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all 23 parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen 24 days. (ECF Nos. 85; 99.) Both plaintiff and defendant filed objections to the findings and 25 recommendations of June 16, 2016. (ECF Nos. 86; 87.) Plaintiff filed objections to the findings 26 and recommendations of October 21, 2016. (ECF No. 101.) 27 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this 28 court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the 1

1	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper
2	analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed June 16, 2016 (ECF No. 85) are adopted in
5	full;
6	2. The motion (ECF No. 64) is denied without prejudice as to the First Amendment
7	retaliation claim, denied as to the First Amendment access-to-court claim, and the motion is
8	denied on grounds of qualified immunity;
9	3. The findings and recommendations filed October 21, 2016 (ECF No. 99) are adopted
10	in full; and
11	4. The motion (ECF No. 64) is granted for failure to exhaust administrative remedies as
12	to the May 4, 2009, incident and this claim is dismissed with prejudice.
13	DATED: January 6, 2017
14	/s/ John A. Mendez_
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	