

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTOINE SLAUGHTER,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-10-1143 DAD P

vs.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondent.

ORDER

_____ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with an application to proceed in forma pauperis.

Examination of the in forma pauperis affidavit reveals that petitioner is unable to afford the costs of suit. Accordingly, the request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

“A petitioner for habeas corpus relief must name the state officer having custody of him or her as the respondent to the petition.” Stanley v. California Supreme Court, 21 F.3d 359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994) (citing Rule 2(a), 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254). Petitioner has named the State of California as respondent in this action. This entity is not the proper respondent in this

////

1 action. Accordingly, the instant petition must be dismissed with leave to amend. See Stanley, 21
2 F.3d at 360.

3 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

4 1. Petitioner's May 10, 2010 application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No.
5 2) is granted;

6 2. Petitioner's May 10, 2010 application for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. No. 1) is
7 dismissed with leave to file an amended petition within thirty days from the date of this order;

8 3. Any amended petition must be filed on the form employed by this court, must
9 name the proper respondent, and must state all claims and prayers for relief on the form. It must
10 bear the case number assigned to this action and must bear the title "Amended Petition"; and

11 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner the form for habeas corpus
12 application.

13 DATED: May 21, 2010.

14
15 
16 _____
17 DALE A. DROZD
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

18 DAD:sj
19 slau1143.122