

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

YURIY PASECHNIK,
Plaintiff,

No. 2:10-cv-01144-MCE-KJM-PS

v.

ORDER

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al.,
Defendants.

_____/

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).

On September 27, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.¹


////

¹ Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

1 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
2 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
3 ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 27, 2010 are adopted in full; and
- 5 2. This action is dismissed with prejudice.

6 Dated: October 28, 2010

7 
8 _____
9 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26