1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	ROBERTO HERRERA,				
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1154 MCE DAD P				
12	VS.				
13	P. STATTI, et al.,				
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>				
15	/				
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with an action				
17	filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.				
18	Pending before the court is plaintiff's amended complaint. Plaintiff has not				
19	signed his amended complaint. Under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff				
20	must sign "[e]very pleading, written motion and other paper" submitted to the court. In the				
21	interest of justice, the court will direct the Clerk of the Court to send plaintiff a copy of his				
22	amended complaint. If plaintiff wishes to proceed in this action, he will be required to sign his				
23	complaint and return it to the court within fourteen days of the date of service of this order.				
24	Also pending before the court are plaintiff's two motions for appointment of				
25	counsel. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require				
26	counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court,				

1

490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the district court may request 2 the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).

4 The test for exceptional circumstances requires the court to evaluate the plaintiff's 5 likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in 6 light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 7 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983). Circumstances common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not 8 9 establish exceptional circumstances that would warrant a request for voluntary assistance of 10 counsel. In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances.

11 Finally, plaintiff has filed a motion for a court order prohibiting High Desert State Prison staff from destroying any potential evidence in this case. At this time, the court has not 12 13 found that plaintiff's complaint states a cognizable claim. Nor has the court ordered plaintiff's complaint served on any of the defendants. Plaintiff is advised that this court is unable to issue 14 15 an order against individuals who are not parties to a suit pending before it. See Zenith Radio 16 Corp. v. Hazeltine Research, Inc., 395 U.S. 100, 112 (1969). Accordingly, the court will deny plaintiff's motion. 17

18

1

3

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

19 1. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff a copy of his amended 20 complaint (Doc. No. 19);

21 2. Within fourteen days from the date of service of this order, plaintiff shall 22 complete the attached Notice of Submission of Documents and submit it to the court, together with his signed amended complaint. Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to comply with this order 23 24 or seek an extension of time to do so will result in a recommendation that this action be 25 dismissed without prejudice;

///// 26

2

1	3. Plaintiff's motions for appointment of counsel (Doc. Nos. 23 & 24) are denied;					
2	and					
3	4. Plaintiff's motion for a court order (Doc. No. 26) is denied.					
4	DATED: March 21, 2011.					
5	Dale A. Dage					
6	DALE A. DROZD					
7 8	DAD:9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE					
8 9						
9 10						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
	3					

1				
2				
3				
4			IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	ROBERTO HERRE	RA.	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	VS.	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-10-1154 MCE DAD P	
7	P. STATTI, et al.,	Defendants.	NOTICE OF SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS	
8		/	mits the following document in compliance with the court's order filed	
9	DATED:		amended civil rights complaint	·
10				
11			Plaintiff	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				