1		
1		
2 3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	ROBERTO HERRERA,	1:10-cv-00795-MJS (PC)
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN
14	VS.	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	P. STATTI,	
16	Defendants.	
17	/	
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
19	U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.	
20	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged	
21	violations took place in Lassen County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United	
22 23	States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have	
24	been filed in the Sacramento Division. Pursuant to Legal Rule 120(b), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper	
25	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(b), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will	
26	be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in	
27	forma pauperis.	
28	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
		-1-

(PC) Herrera v. Statti

Doc. 4

1	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District o	
2	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
3	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be	
4	filed at:	
5	United States District Count	
6	United States District Court Eastern District of California	
7	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
8	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10		
11	Dated: May 10, 2010 /s/ Michael J. Seng UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28