(PC) James v. Mehta		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	CHARLES CORNELIUS JAMES,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2:10-cv-1171 LKK DAD P
12	VS.	
13	DEEPAK MEHTA, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding through counsel. The parties have filed a	
17	stipulation requesting that the court modify the scheduling order in this action.	
18	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
19	1. Plaintiff's motion to extend discovery (Doc. No. 104) is deemed withdrawn;	
20	2. The parties shall disclose any expert witnesses on or before June 30, 2013;	
21	3. The parties shall complete all expert and non-expert discovery and file all non-	
22	dispositive motions on or before August 30, 2013;	
23	4. The parties shal	l file any dispositive motions on or before October 30, 2013;
24	and	
25	/////	
26	/////	

Doc. 115

5. Except as otherwise stated in this order, the court's February 15, 2013 scheduling order remains in effect. DATED: April 29, 2013. Dale A. Dage DAD:9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE jame1171.41mod(2)