1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CHARLES CORNELIUS JAMES,	Case No. 2:10-cv-01171-LKK-DAD	
12	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
13	v.		
14	DEEPAK MEHTA, ET AL.,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	WHEREAS, on January 13, 2014, the parties stipulated, and the Court		
18	ordered, that Defendant Uppal undergo an Independent Medical Examination (Doc		
19	# 178);		
20	WHEREAS, counsel for Defendant Uppal has worked diligently to arrange		
21	the Independent Medical Examination;		
22	WHEREAS, the Independent Medical Examination has been scheduled and		
23	cancelled multiple times due to Defendant Uppal's illness ¹ ;		
24	WHEREAS, counsel for Defendant Uppal and counsel for Plaintiff are		
25	engaged in informal settlement discussions;		
26	/////		
27	Dr. Uppal's counsel can provide the Court with a sta	tus on her mental health issues, should the Court find it	
28	¹ Dr. Uppal's counsel can provide the Court with a status on her mental health issues, should the Court find it informative; however, due to HIPAA concerns, this will need to be submitted under seal.		

WHEREAS, counsel for Defendant Uppal and counsel for Plaintiff request to participate in a settlement conference to determine if settlement of the claims against Defendant Uppal is possible;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff's counsel has contacted Magistrate Judge Craig Kellison to preside over the conference, but no date has yet been issued for the conference;

It is hereby stipulated, between Plaintiff C. James, by and through his attorney of record, and Defendant R.K. Uppal, by and through her attorneys of record, that the parties will participate in a settlement conference with Magistrate Judge Kellison as soon as can be arranged.

The parties further stipulate that the Independent Medical Examination be stayed pending counsels' participation in the settlement conference. Should the parties fail to reach a settlement at the conference; the parties will meet and confer regarding how the case will proceed as to Defendant Uppal and will provide a plan for same to this Court.

SO STIPULATED:

1 2	Dated: February 26, 2014	U.C. Davis Civil Rights Clinic (electronic signature authorized)
3		By: /s/ Carter C. White
4		By: /s/ Carter C. White Carter C. White Supervising Attorney
5		Attorney for Plaintiff CHARLES C. JAMES
6		
7 8	Dated: February 26, 2014	Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP
9		Day (a/Mical all A Marana)
		By: /s/ Mitchell A. Wrosch Susan E. Coleman
10		Kristina D. Gruenberg Mitchell A. Wrosch
11		
12		Attorneys for Defendant C. CAPITANO AND R.K. UPPAL
13		
14	Dated: February 26, 2014	Williams & Associates (electronic signature authorized)
15		By: /s/ Kathleen J. Williams
16		Kathleen J. Williams
17		Attorneys for Defendants
18 19		AGUILERA, ANDREASEN, BICK, DHILLON, MEHTA, PAI, WILLIAMS, M.D. AND WILLIAMS,
20		WILLIAMS, M.D. AND WILLIAMS, N.P.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
22	Dated: February 28, 2014	
23	Dated: 1 cordary 20, 2014	2
24		Dale A. Dage
25	/jame1171.scstip	DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		
27		
28		