Doc. 57

(Case No. 2:10-cv-1811 KJN P, Dkt. No. 29-4 at 2.)1

As plaintiff was informed on March 14, 2011, plaintiff risks dismissal of this action if he fails to cooperate in discovery. Although pro se pleadings are construed liberally, pro se litigants are bound by the rules of procedure. See Ghazali v, Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 54 (9th Cir. 1995) (dismissing pro se prisoner's case for failure to comply with local rule by filing opposition brief), cert. denied, 516 U.S. 838 (1995); Wanderer v. Johnston, 910 F.2d 652, 656 (9th Cir. 1990) (entry of default was justified sanction based on repeated and inexcusable obstructions of discovery).

In an abundance of caution, plaintiff will be provided one final opportunity to produce the discovery responses allegedly mailed on April 7, 2011. Therefore, plaintiff shall file his discovery responses with the court within fourteen days from the date of this order. Failure to timely file the discovery responses will result in a recommendation that the district court grant defendants' motion to dismiss this action as a sanction based on plaintiff's failure to cooperate in the discovery process.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within fourteen days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall file his discovery responses with the court or show cause why defendants' motion to dismiss this action should not be granted.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: May 16, 2011

bake1208.osc

¹ In the May 9, 2011 motion to compel filed in Case No. 2:10-cv-1811 KJN P, defendants' counsel provided a copy of plaintiff's April 28, 2011 letter, which referenced two case numbers: 2:10-cv-1208 FCD KJN P and 2:10-cv-1811 KJN P. (Dkt. No. 29-4.)