Ш

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BERTRAND THOMPSON,	1:10-cv-00854-JLT (HC)
12 13	Petitioner,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
14	VS.	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	LARRY SCRIBNER	
16	Respondent.	
17	/	
18	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
20	§ 1915.	
21	The petitioner is challenging a conviction from San Joaquin County, which is part of the	
22	Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.	
23	Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.	
24	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(b), a civil action which has not been commenced in the	
25	proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this	
26	action will be transferred to the Sacramento Div	ision. This court will not rule on petitioner's
	_	1-

1	request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
2	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
3	1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
4	2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
5	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
6	3. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall	
7	be filed at:	
8 9	United States District Court Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200	
9 10	Sacramento, CA 95814	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12		
13	Dated:May 18, 2010/s/ Jennifer L. ThurstonUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	-2-	

I

I