Edwards v. Taylor et al

Doc. 46

123(a) because the actions involve the same plaintiff and similar defendants, the plaintiff is represented by the same counsel in both actions, and both actions assert claims arising out of the same time period during plaintiff's employment with defendant Nike Retail Services, Inc. Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial saving of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

2.4

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that both actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

Edwards v. Nike Retail Services, Inc., Civ. No. 2:10-1250 WBS KJN, and Edwards v. Nike Retail Services, Inc., Civ. No. 2:12-2531 KJM EFB, be, and the same hereby are, deemed related and the case denominated Edwards v. Nike Retail Services, Inc., Civ. No. 2:12-2531 KJM EFB, shall be reassigned to the Honorable WILLIAM B. SHUBB and Magistrate Judge Kendall J. Newman. Any dates currently set in the reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as Edwards v. Nike Retail Services, Inc., Civ. No. 2:12-2531 WBS KJN.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriated adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.