1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	GORDON D. MEADOR, No. CIV S-10-1255-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	D. RUBLE, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42
18	U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the court is plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (Doc.
19	7). The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require
20	counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. See Mallard v. United States Dist. Court,
21	490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the
22	voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). See Terrell v. Brewer, 935
23	F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).
24	In the present case, the court does not at this time find the required exceptional circumstances.
25	///
26	///
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for the
2	appointment of counsel (Doc. 7) is denied.
3	
4	DATED: September 30, 2010
5	-raig M. Kellison
6	CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
15	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I