19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

```
1
2
3
5
                      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6
7
    CAHTO TRIBE OF THE LAYTONVILLE
8
                                             2:10-cv-01306-GEB-GGH
    RANCHERIA,
9
                   Plaintiff,
                                             ORDER DENYING REQUEST TO FILE
10
                                             AMICUS BRIEF
              V.
11
    DALE RISLING, Acting Regional
    Director for the Pacific Region,
12
    Bureau of Indian Affairs, United
    States Department of the
13
    Interior, KEN SALAZAR, Secretary
    of the Interior, United States
14
    Department of the Interior,
    LARRY ECHO HAWK, Assistant
15
    Secretary - Indian Affairs,
    United States Department of the
16
    Interior,
17
                   Defendants.
18
```

Gene William Sloan, Bert U. Sloan, Melody Sloan, John Omar Sloan, Tasheena Sloan, Allen Sloan, Rachel Sloan, Linda Palomares, Godfrey Sloan, Jeff Sloan, Tonya Sloan Rodriguez, Tammy Sloan, Arturo Gonzalez, Jr., Arica Rene Lopez-Sloan, Mark Britton, Jr., Jose Ochoa, Gabriel Ochoa and Jennifer Sloan (the "Sloan Family") request leave to file a brief as amicus curiae "in support of Defendants' Opposition to [Plaintiff's] Motion for Summary Judgment and [Defendants'] Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment," arguing they will "contribute a critical perspective to the Court's full understanding of this case." (ECF No. 39.)

"A federal district court's decision to grant amicus status to an individual, or an organization, is purely discretionary." <u>United States v. Board of Education of City of Chicago</u>, No. 80 C 5124, 1993 WL 408356, at *3 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 12, 1993) (citations omitted). "Relevant factors in determining whether to allow an entity the privilege of being heard as an amicus include whether the proffered information is timely, useful, or otherwise." <u>Id.</u> (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

The Sloan Family has not explained their delay in seeking leave to file an amicus brief. A Status Order was filed on September 14, 2010, which established the parties' briefing schedule on their crossmotions for summary judgment. (ECF No. 16.) However, the Sloan Family did not request leave to file an amicus brief until March 18, 2011, after the dates passed for Plaintiff to file its motion for summary judgment and Defendants to file their consolidated opposition and/or cross-opposition. Therefore the Sloan Family's request for leave to file an amicus brief is denied.

Dated: March 21, 2011

GARLAND E. BURREIL, JR.

United States District Judge