1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RONNIE O. BROWN,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-1323 KJN P
12	VS.
13	M. PEREZ, et al., ORDER AND
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By an order filed July 7, 2010, plaintiff was ordered to file affidavit in support of
17	his request to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the appropriate filing fee within twenty-one days
18	and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be
19	dismissed. The twenty-one day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the
20	court's order and has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit or paid the appropriate filing fee.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to
22	assign a district judge to this case; and
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
24	prejudice.
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-
	1

1	one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	DATED: August 4, 2010
7	
8	
9	KENDALL I NEWMAN
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	/brow1323.fpf
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
	2
	I

I

I