

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JOSEPH M. FREEMAN,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-1376 MCE KJM P

12 vs.

13 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,
14 et al.,

15 Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

16 _____/
17 By order filed June 4, 2010, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days
18 leave to file an amended complaint and a request to proceed in forma pauperis was granted. The
19 thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, request to
20 proceed in forma pauperis or otherwise responded to the court's order.

21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
22 without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

23 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
24 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-
25 one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
26 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's

Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: July 15, 2010.



U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2

fran1378.fta