1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JOSE B. ORTIZ, No. 2:10-cv-1380-MCE-EFB P 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 J. REYNOLDS, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief 18 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On November 7, 2014, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to 21 22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has filed 23 objections to the findings and recommendations. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this 24 Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire 25 26 file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by 27 proper analysis. 28 ///// 1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 7, 2014 (ECF No. 110), are
3	ADOPTED in full; and
4	2. Plaintiff's request for a preliminary injunction (ECF No. 109) is DENIED.
5	JT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: January 14, 2015
7	Man alexander
8	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	