1

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSE B. ORTIZ,	No. 2:10-cv-01380-MCE-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	J. REYNOLDS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On October 24, 2013, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein,	
21	ECF No. 80, which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
22	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Both	
23	parties have filed objections to the findings and recommendations. ECF Nos. 81, 82.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, the	
25 26	Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
26 27	Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
27 28	analysis.	
28		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The Findings and Recommendations (ECF No. 80) filed October 24, 2013, are	
3	ADOPTED IN FULL; and	
4	2. Defendant's June 24, 2013, Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 73) is	
5	GRANTED IN PART as to Plaintiff's claim that Defendant caused the delay of a	
6	necessary medical appointment on or around September 25, 2008, in violation of	
7	the Eighth Amendment, and otherwise DENIED.	
8	Dated: February 26, 2014	
9	Low Alter	
10	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	