(PC) Diaz v.	Martel et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RANDOLPH M. DIAZ,	No. 2:10-cv-1388-MCE-KJN-P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	VS.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	M. MARTEL, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16		/	
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action		
18	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On January 9, 2012, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
21	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any		
22	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither		
23	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
24	The Court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
25	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY		
26	ORDERED that:		
		1	

Doc. 20

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	,
7	
8	
9	
10)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	,
17	
18	
19	
20)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

- $1. \ \, \text{The findings and recommendations filed January 9, 2012 (ECF No. \, 16), are} \\$ ADOPTED in full; and
- 2. Defendants Ram and Martel are DISMISSED from this action without prejudice.

Dated: February 29, 2012

MORRISON C. ENGLAND JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE