1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PAUL L. REIN, Esq. (SBN 43053) CELIA MCGUINNESS, Esq. (SBN 159 CATHERINE M. CABALO, Esq. (SBN LAW OFFICES OF PAUL L. REIN 200 Lakeside Drive, Suite A Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 510/832-5001 Facsimile: 510/832-4787 reinlawoffice@aol.com Attorneys for Plaintiff ROOSEVELT JOHNSON	420) 248198)	
8 9 10	R. LAWRENCE BRAGG, Esq. VITALE & LOWE 3249 Quality Drive, Suite 200 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6098 Telephone: 916/851-3750 Facsimile: 916/851-3770		
11 12 13	Attorneys for Defendants PD HOTEL ASSOCIATES, LLC dba RADISSON HOTEL SACRAMENTO, and DOW PRE II, LLC		
14	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	IN AND FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16			
17	ROOSEVELT JOHNSON,	Case No. 2:10-cv-1407 JAM-DAD	
18	Plaintiff,	Civil Rights	
19	V.		
20		STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
21	PD HOTEL ASSOCIATES, LLC, a California limited liability company dba RADISSON HOTEL	CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND CORRESPONDING PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES TO FACILITATE	
22	SACRAMENTO; DOW PRE II, LLC, a Delaware limited liability	SETTLEMENT	
23	company; and DOES 1-10, Inclusive,		
24	Defendants.		
25	/		
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND CORRESPONDING PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES Case No. 2:10-cv-1407 JAM-DAD		
PDF created v	with pdfFactory trial version www.pdffactory.c	COM Dockets Justia c	

1	STIPULATI	<u>ON</u>
2	Plaintiff ROOSEVELT JOHNSON ("Plaintiff") filed a Complaint in this	
3	action on June 8, 2010 to enforce provisions of	the Americans with Disabilities Act
4	of 1990 ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq., and California civil rights laws	
5	against defendants PD HOTEL ASSOCIATES, LLC dba RADISSON HOTEL	
6	SACRAMENTO and DOW PRE II, LLC (together referred to as "Defendants").	
7	Plaintiff and Defendants have recently settled injunctive relief issues in this	
8	case and are engaged in continuing settlement negotiations with respect to Plaintiff's	
9	claims for monetary relief.	
10	In aid of efforts to settle Plaintiff's monetary relief claims, Plaintiff and	
11	Defendants are requesting by a concurrently-filed stipulation that this Court	
12	schedule a settlement conference.	
13	On the basis of the foregoing continued and substantial settlement activity,	
14	the parties request that this Court continue for an approximate five (5) month period	
15	the trial date in this matter and all corresponding pre-trial deadlines set in this	
16	Court's Status (Pre-trial Scheduling) Order, filed on December 10, 2010	
17	("Scheduling Order"), including those concerning discovery, expert disclosures,	
18	motion hearing schedule, and final pretrial conference. Per the Scheduling Order,	
19	the currently set dates are as follows:	
20	Expert Witness Disclosures:	July 15, 2011
21	Rebuttal Expert Witness Disclosures:	July 22, 2011
22	Discovery Completion:	September 13, 2011
23	Dispositive Motions (filed by):	October 19, 2011
24	Dispositive Motions (heard by):	November 16, 2011
25	Trial:	January 13, 2012
26		
27	- 2 -	
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND CORRESPONDING PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES Case No. 2:10-cv-1407 JAM-DAD	
	lithe walf To atomy twick your to a supervise walf on the way of the	

PDF created with pdfFactory trial version www.pdffactory.com

I

The parties have been working diligently and in good faith toward
 investigating and responding to the issues raised in Plaintiff's Complaint. The
 requested continuance will allow the parties adequate time to continue good faith
 efforts to consummate settlements of Plaintiff's claims against Defendants in this
 matter. The requested continuance would also allow any remaining issues to be
 mediated at a settlement conference.

The parties respectfully submit that continuance of all of these dates is in the
best interests of the parties and the Court to continue pursuit of the pending
settlement efforts without incurring substantial attorneys' fees and expert costs
through the discovery and pretrial motion process. Rather than proposing specific,
new dates, the parties will accept the Court's discretion in continuing and resetting
these dates to a timeframe approximately five (5) months from the currentlyscheduled dates.

14 15

16

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

10	Dated: July 6, 2011	LAW OFFICES OF PAUL L. REIN
17	Dated. July 0, 2011	LAW OFFICES OF FAULT. REIN
18		/s/ Catherine M. Cabalo
19		By: Catherine M. Cabalo, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff
20		ROOSEVELT JOHNSON
21		
22	Dated: July 6, 2011	VITALE & LOWE
23		
24		
25		/s/ R. Lawrence Bragg
		By: R. Lawrence Bragg, Esq.
26		Attorneys for Defendants
27		- 3 -
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND CORRESPONDING PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES Case No. 2:10-cv-1407 JAM-DAD	
	1	

PDF created with pdfFactory trial version www.pdffactory.com

1		PD HOTEL ASSOCIATES, LLC dba RADISSON HOTEL	
2		SACRAMENTO, and DOW PRE II, LLC	
3	ORDER		
4	Good cause having been shown, the Court grants the parties' stipulation and		
5	request to continue for five (5) months all Scheduling Order deadlines not yet		
6	passed in this case and sets new dates as follows:		
7	Expert Witness Disclosures:	January 13, 2012	
8	Rebuttal Expert Witness Disclo	·	
9	Discovery Completion:	March 7, 2012	
10	Dispositive Motions (filed by):	April 18, 2012	
11	Dispositive Motions (heard by)	<u>May 16, 2012 at 9:30 a.m.</u>	
12 13	Joint pretrial statement due:	June 15, 2012	
13	Final pretrial conference:	June 22, 2012 at 11:00 a.m	
14	Jury Trial:	July 30, 2012 at 9:00 a.m.	
16			
17			
18	All other requirements of the S	cheduling Order shall remain as written.	
19	PURSUANT TO STIPULAT	ION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	D-4-4 L-1-7 2011		
21	Dated: July 7, 2011	/s/ John A. Mendez Honorable JOHN A. MENDEZ	
22		United States District Court Judge	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27		- 4 -	
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND CORRESPONDING PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES Case No. 2:10-cv-1407 JAM-DAD		

PDF created with pdfFactory trial version www.pdffactory.com