

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN GREEN,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-1424 EFB P

vs.

R. MIRANDA, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER AND
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

_____/

Plaintiff filed a motion for a preliminary injunction on June 10, 2010. In his complaint and motion for a preliminary injunction, plaintiff states that he has been denied reading glasses and that he has been hindered from writing and conducting legal research. The court ordered defendants to respond. Defendants state that plaintiff has been seen by an optometrist and prescribed bifocals for his astigmatism. Plaintiff's reply admits that he has received his glasses and that his motion for preliminary injunction is now moot.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk randomly assign a United States District Judge to this case; and

////

////

////

1 Further, it is RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's June 10, 2010 motion for a preliminary
2 injunction be denied as moot.

3 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
4 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 14 days after
5 being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections
6 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections
7 to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the
8 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158
9 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

10 Dated: December 9, 2010.

11 
12 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26