1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	0 LONNIE HAYES,	
11	1Plaintiff,No. 2: 10-cv-1456 KJN P	
12	2 vs.	
13	3 D. FOSTON, et al., ORDER AND	
14	4 Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>	
15	.5/	
16	.6 By an order filed June 23, 2010, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma par	ıperis
17	7 affidavit AND/OR pay the appropriate filing fee within thirty days and was cautioned that t	failure
18	8 to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day per	riod
19	9 has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed an in	l
20	forma pauperis affidavit AND/OR paid the appropriate filing fee.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall a	ssign
22	a district judge to this action; and	
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
24	24 prejudice.	
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States Dis	trict
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fou	rteen
	1	

1	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3	Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served
4	within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
5	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
6	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
7	DATED: August 3, 2010
8	
9	
10	KENDALL I NEWMAN
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	hay1456.fifp
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I