1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	HEATHER COLLINS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1473 LKK KJM PS
12	vs.
13	FANNIE MAE, ORDER AND
14	Defendant. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. Plaintiff has requested authority
17	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this
18	court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).
19	Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is
20	unable to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed in
21	forma pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).
22	In this action, plaintiff challenges an unlawful detainer action presently being
23	taken against her in state court. Because the unlawful detainer action was filed in state court
24	prior to the initiation of the instant federal court action and both actions involve precisely the
25	same property, this court is required to abstain from exercising jurisdiction. See Colorado River
26	/////
	1

1	Water Conservation District v. United States, 424 U.S. 800, 814-15 (1976); see also Scherbenske
2	v. Wachovia Mortgage, FSB, 626 F.Supp.2d 1052, 1057-58 (E.D. Cal. 2009).
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request to proceed in
4	forma pauperis is granted; and
5	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed.
6	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
7	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within
8	fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
9	written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be
10	captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is
11	advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the
12	District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
13	DATED: July 23, 2010.
14	006 Mulle
15	006 collins.ifp-57 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

I