| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | GILBERT S. MARTINEZ, |
| 11 | Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1485 WBS GGH P |
| 12 | VS. |
| 13 | YOLANDA RODRIGUEZ, |
| 14 | Defendant. <u>ORDER</u> |
| 15 | / |
| 16 | Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action |
| 17 | seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate |
| 18 | Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. |
| 19 | On September 15, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations |
| 20 | herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections |
| 21 | to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has filed |
| 22 | objections to the findings and recommendations. |
| 23 | In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule |
| 24 | 304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the |
| 25 | entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and |
| 26 | by proper analysis. |
| | 1 |
| | |

| 1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 2 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 15, 2010, are adopted 3 full; and 4 2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied, and that plaintiff 5 ordered to submit the appropriate filing fee within twenty-eight days from the date of the ord 6 denying plaintiff's motion. 7 DATED: October 8, 2010 8 WILLIAM B. SHUBB 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
|--|----|
| 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 15, 2010, are adopted full; and 2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied, and that plaintiff ordered to submit the appropriate filing fee within twenty-eight days from the date of the ord denying plaintiff's motion. DATED: October 8, 2010 WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| full; and 2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied, and that plaintiff ordered to submit the appropriate filing fee within twenty-eight days from the date of the ord denying plaintiff's motion. DATED: October 8, 2010 <i>Milliam B. Shubb</i> WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied, and that plaintiff ordered to submit the appropriate filing fee within twenty-eight days from the date of the ord denying plaintiff's motion. DATED: October 8, 2010 <i>Milliam B. SHUBB</i> UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | ın |
| ordered to submit the appropriate filing fee within twenty-eight days from the date of the ord denying plaintiff's motion. DATED: October 8, 2010 Milliam B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| denying plaintiff's motion. DATED: October 8, 2010 <i>Milliam Market</i> WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 7 DATED: October 8, 2010 8 9 9 WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | er |
| 8 9 9 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | |
| 9 WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| | |
| | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 /mart1485.804 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 2 | |
| | |