1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOSEPH VERDUCCI,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1486 JAM GGH P
12	VS.
13	MARSH, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By an order filed April 22, 2011, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and
17	return to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on
18	defendants. That thirty day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way
19	to the court's order.
20	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
21	prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
22	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States
23	District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within
24	fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
25	written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings
26	and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within
	1

1	fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections
2	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.
3	<u>Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
4	DATED: September 21, 2011
5	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	GGH: AB verd1486.fusm2
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I

I