

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE:

SK FOODS, L.P.

Debtor.

BRADLEY SHARP,

Plaintiff,

v.

SSC FARMS 1, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

CIV. NO. S-10-1492 LKK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE:

SK FOODS, L.P.

Debtor.

CIV. NO. S-10-1493 LKK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE:

SK FOODS, L.P.

Debtor.

BRADLEY SHARP,

Plaintiff,

v.

CSSS, L.P., et al.,

Defendants.

CIV. NO. S-10-1496 LKK

1 IN RE:

2 SK FOODS, L.P.

3 Debtor.

4 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1497 LKK

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 FRED SALYER IRREVOCABLE  
8 TRUST, et al.,

9 Defendants.

---

10 IN RE:

11 SK FOODS, L.P.

12 Debtor.

13 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1498 LKK

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 SKF AVIATION, LLC., et al.,

17 Defendants.

---

18 IN RE:

19 SK FOODS, L.P.

20 Debtor.

21 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1499 LKK

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 SCOTT SALYER, et al.,

25 Defendants.

---

26

1 IN RE:

2 SK FOODS, L.P.

3 Debtor.

4 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1500 LKK

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

O R D E R

7 SCOTT SALYER, et al.,

8 Defendants.

9

10 On December 27, 2010, the Bankruptcy Trustee filed a motion  
11 for rehearing on this court's December 10, 2010 order. The motion  
12 is set to be heard on January 31, 2011. On December 30, 2010, the  
13 debtor and non-debtor entities filed an objection to the rehearing.  
14 Counsel for these parties filed a declaration informing the court  
15 that Andrea Miller, lead counsel for the entities, has been  
16 diagnosed with a life-threatening illness that requires surgery.  
17 She is estimated to complete recovery in March or April of this  
18 year. In order to allow Ms. Miller to work on this matter, the  
19 entities requested a continuance of the motion. Counsel also  
20 indicated that they have attempted to obtain a stipulation of the  
21 continuance.

22 Shortly after receiving the objections, chambers staff  
23 contacted counsel for the entities to determine whether they have  
24 reached an agreement with the trustee. Counsel represented that he  
25 is still seeking a stipulation. Counsel has not further informed  
26 the court of any agreement.

1           The court expresses deep sympathy for Ms. Miller. Nonetheless,  
2 the court finds that her firm, Nagelely, Meredith & Miller, Inc.,  
3 is sufficiently large such that another attorney can familiarize  
4 himself with the matter. This is especially so given that there  
5 appear to be serious disputes as to the interpretation of this  
6 court's prior order. The court, thus, denies the request for a  
7 continuance. The motion for rehearing shall be held at 10:00 a.m.  
8 on January 31, 2011.

9           The court does, however, grant the entities a short extension  
10 of time to file a response to the motion for rehearing. The  
11 response shall be filed by 9:00 a.m. on January 19, 2011. Deadlines  
12 for filing reply memoranda remain unchanged.

13           IT IS SO ORDERED.

14           DATED: January 14, 2011.

15  
16  
17             
18           LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
19           SENIOR JUDGE  
20           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26