

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE:

SK FOODS, L.P.

Debtor.

BRADLEY SHARP,

Plaintiff,

v.

SSC FARMS 1, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

CIV. NO. S-10-1492 LKK

IN RE:

SK FOODS, L.P.

Debtor.

CIV. NO. S-10-1493 LKK

IN RE:

SK FOODS, L.P.

Debtor.

BRADLEY SHARP,

Plaintiff,

v.

CSSS, L.P., et al.,

Defendants.

CIV. NO. S-10-1496 LKK

1 IN RE:

2 SK FOODS, L.P.

3 Debtor.

4 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1497 LKK

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 FRED SALYER IRREVOCABLE
8 TRUST, et al.,

9 Defendants.

10 IN RE:

11 SK FOODS, L.P.

12 Debtor.

13 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1498 LKK

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 SKF AVIATION, LLC., et al.,

17 Defendants.

18 IN RE:

19 SK FOODS, L.P.

20 Debtor.

21 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1499 LKK

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 SCOTT SALYER, et al.,

25 Defendants.

26

1 IN RE:

2 SK FOODS, L.P.

3 Debtor.

4 BRADLEY SHARP,

CIV. NO. S-10-1500 LKK

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

O R D E R

7 SCOTT SALYER, et al.,

8 Defendants.

9

10 On December 27, 2010, the Bankruptcy Trustee filed a motion
11 for rehearing on this court's December 10, 2010 order. The motion
12 is set to be heard on January 31, 2011. On December 30, 2010, the
13 debtor and non-debtor entities filed an objection to the rehearing.
14 Counsel for these parties filed a declaration informing the court
15 that Andrea Miller, lead counsel for the entities, has been
16 diagnosed with a life-threatening illness that requires surgery.
17 She is estimated to complete recovery in March or April of this
18 year. In order to allow Ms. Miller to work on this matter, the
19 entities requested a continuance of the motion. Counsel also
20 indicated that they have attempted to obtain a stipulation of the
21 continuance.


22 Shortly after receiving the objections, chambers staff
23 contacted counsel for the entities to determine whether they have
24 reached an agreement with the trustee. Counsel represented that he
25 is still seeking a stipulation. Counsel has not further informed
26 the court of any agreement.

1 The court expresses deep sympathy for Ms. Miller. Nonetheless,
2 the court finds that her firm, Nagelely, Meredith & Miller, Inc.,
3 is sufficiently large such that another attorney can familiarize
4 himself with the matter. This is especially so given that there
5 appear to be serious disputes as to the interpretation of this
6 court's prior order. The court, thus, denies the request for a
7 continuance. The motion for rehearing shall be held at 10:00 a.m.
8 on January 31, 2011.

9 The court does, however, grant the entities a short extension
10 of time to file a response to the motion for rehearing. The
11 response shall be filed by 9:00 a.m. on January 19, 2011. Deadlines
12 for filing reply memoranda remain unchanged.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 DATED: January 14, 2011.

15
16
17 
18 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
19 SENIOR JUDGE
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
21
22
23
24
25
26