1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	LASIENN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	IN RE:
9	SK FOODS, L.P.
10	Debtor.
11	BRADLEY SHARP, CIV. NO. S-10-1492 LKK
12	Plaintiff,
13	V.
14	SSC FARMS 1, LLC, et al.,
15	Defendants. /
16	IN RE:
17	SK FOODS, L.P. CIV. NO. S-10-1493 LKK
18	Debtor.
19	IN RE:
20	SK FOODS, L.P.
21	Debtor.
22	BRADLEY SHARP, CIV. NO. S-10-1496 LKK
23	Plaintiff,
24	ν.
25	CSSS, L.P., et al.,
26	Defendants. /

1 IN RE: 2 SK FOODS, L.P. 3 Debtor. BRADLEY SHARP, CIV. NO. S-10-1497 LKK 4 5 Plaintiff, 6 v. 7 FRED SALYER IRREVOCABLE TRUST, et al., 8 Defendants. 9 10 IN RE: 11 SK FOODS, L.P. 12 Debtor. 13 BRADLEY SHARP, CIV. NO. S-10-1498 LKK 14 Plaintiff, 15 v. 16 SKF AVIATION, LLC., et al., 17 Defendants. 18 IN RE: 19 SK FOODS, L.P. 20 Debtor. 21 BRADLEY SHARP, CIV. NO. S-10-1499 LKK 22 Plaintiff, 23 v. 24 SCOTT SALYER, et al., 25 Defendants. 26 2

1	IN RE:
2	SK FOODS, L.P.
3	Debtor.
4	BRADLEY SHARP, CIV. NO. S-10-1500 LKK
5	Plaintiff,
6	V.
7	SCOTT SALYER, et al.,
8	Defendants.
9	/

On December 27, 2010, the Bankruptcy Trustee filed a motion 10 11 for rehearing on this court's December 10, 2010 order. The motion 12 is set to be heard on January 31, 2011. On December 30, 2010, the 13 debtor and non-debtor entities filed an objection to the rehearing. Counsel for these parties filed a declaration informing the court 14 that Andrea Miller, lead counsel for the entities, has been 15 16 diagnosed with a life-threatening illness that requires surgery. 17 She is estimated to complete recovery in March or April of this 18 year. In order to allow Ms. Miller to work on this matter, the 19 entities requested a continuance of the motion. Counsel also 20 indicated that they have attempted to obtain a stipulation of the 21 continuance.

22 Shortly after receiving the objections, chambers staff 23 contacted counsel for the entities to determine whether they have 24 reached an agreement with the trustee. Counsel represented that he 25 is still seeking a stipulation. Counsel has not further informed 26 the court of any agreement.

1	The court expresses deep sympathy for Ms. Miller. Nonetheless,
2	the court finds that her firm, Nagelely, Meredith & Miller, Inc.,
3	is sufficiently large such that another attorney can familiarize
4	himself with the matter. This is especially so given that there
5	appear to be serious disputes as to the interpretation of this
6	court's prior order. The court, thus, denies the request for a
7	continuance. The motion for rehearing shall be held at 10:00 a.m.
8	on January 31, 2011.
9	The court does, however, grant the entities a short extension
10	of time to file a response to the motion for rehearing. The
11	response shall be filed by 9:00 a.m. on January 19, 2011. Deadlines
12	for filing reply memoranda remain unchanged.
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	DATED: January 14, 2011.
15	
16	a and K Karlton
17	LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
18	SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	4