I

1	
2	
2	
3 4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	WILLIAM DALE SMITH,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1537 JAM KJM PS
12	VS.
13	UNITED STATES,
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se and in forma pauperis. This
17	proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).
18	Plaintiff has filed an amended complaint. The federal in forma pauperis statute
19	authorizes federal courts to dismiss a case if the action is legally "frivolous or malicious," fails to
20	state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is
21	immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).
22	As previously noted, claim is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis
23	either in law or in fact. Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); Franklin v. Murphy, 745
24	F.2d 1221, 1227-28 (9th Cir. 1984). The court may, therefore, dismiss a claim as frivolous
25	where it is based on an indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are
26	clearly baseless. <u>Neitzke</u> , 490 U.S. at 327.
	////

1 In order to avoid dismissal for failure to state a claim a complaint must contain 2 more than "naked assertions," "labels and conclusions" or "a formulaic recitation of the elements 3 of a cause of action." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555-57 (2007). In other 4 words, "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory 5 statements do not suffice." Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009). Furthermore, a claim upon which the court can grant relief has facial plausibility. Twombly, 550 U.S. at 570. 6 7 "A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to 8 draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." Iqbal, 129 9 S. Ct. at 1949. When considering whether a complaint states a claim upon which relief can be 10 granted, the court must accept the allegations as true, Erickson v. Pardus, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200 11 (2007), and construe the complaint in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, see Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 236 (1974). 12

13 The court finds the allegations in plaintiff's amended complaint so vague and conclusory that it is unable to determine whether the current action is frivolous or fails to state a 14 claim for relief. The court has determined that the complaint does not contain a short and plain 15 statement as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2). Although the Federal Rules adopt a flexible 16 17 pleading policy, a complaint must give fair notice and state the elements of the claim plainly and succinctly. Jones v. Community Redev. Agency, 733 F.2d 646, 649 (9th Cir. 1984). Plaintiff 18 19 must allege with at least some degree of particularity overt acts which defendants engaged in that 20 support plaintiff's claim. Id. Because plaintiff has failed to comply with the requirements of 21 Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2), the complaint must be dismissed. The court will, however, grant leave to 22 file a second amended complaint.

If plaintiff chooses to further amend the complaint, plaintiff must set forth the
jurisdictional grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends. Federal Rule of Civil
Procedure 8(a). Further, plaintiff must demonstrate how the conduct complained of has resulted
in a deprivation of plaintiff's federal rights. <u>See Ellis v. Cassidy</u>, 625 F.2d 227 (9th Cir. 1980).

2

1	In addition, plaintiff is informed that the court cannot refer to a prior pleading in
2	order to make plaintiff's second amended complaint complete. Local Rule 15-220 requires that
3	an amended complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading. This is
4	because, as a general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. See Loux v.
5	Rhay, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). Once plaintiff files an amended complaint, the original
6	pleading no longer serves any function in the case. Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an
7	original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently
8	alleged.
9	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
10	1. Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis is granted;
11	2. Plaintiff's amended complaint is dismissed; and
12	3. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of service of this order to file a
13	second amended complaint that complies with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil
14	Procedure, and the Local Rules of Practice; the second amended complaint must bear the docket
15	number assigned this case and must be labeled "Second Amended Complaint"; failure to file a
16	second amended complaint in accordance with this order will result in a recommendation that
17	this action be dismissed.
18	DATED: September 23, 2010.
19	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21	smith-usa2.lta
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ш