1	Alicia J. Donahue, SBN 117412		
2	adonahue@shb.com Amir Nassihi, SBN 235936 anassihi@shb.com Andrew L. Chang, SBN 222309 achang@shb.com SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.		
3			
4			
5	One Montgomery, Suite 2700 San Francisco, California 94104-4505		
6	Telephone: 415-544-1900 Facsimile: 415-391-0281		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
8	Ronnie E. Barron		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
12	RONNIE E. BARRON,	Case No. 2:10-cv-01567-WBS-DAD	
13	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE FINAL PRETRIAL	
14	v.	CONFERENCE, TRIAL DATE, AND SET EXPERT DEADLINES	
15	M. MARTEL, et al.,	Trial Date: August 11, 2015	
16	Defendants.	Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: 5, 14 th Floor	
17		Judge: Honorable William B. Shubb	
18		Pretrial Conference: June 8, 2015 Time: 2:00 p.m.	
19		7 mie. 2.00 p.m.	
20	The parties, by and through their attorneys of record, seek to modify the Court's January 28,		
21	2015 Order (Dkt. 74), to continue the pretrial conference and trial dates. Trial is currently scheduled		
22	to start on August 11, 2015, and the Pretrial Conference is scheduled for June 8, 2015.		
23	On April 30, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion to re-open discovery and to continue the final		
24	pretrial conference and trial date for 120 days to complete discovery and prepare for trial. Dkt 75.		
25	On May 1, 2015, the Court referred Plaintiff's motion to re-open discovery to Magistrate Judge Dale		
26	A. Drozd and deferred ruling on Plaintiff's request to continue the trial date pending the magistrate		
27	judge's ruling on request for additional discovery. Dkt 76. Plaintiff's motion is currently set for		
28	hearing before Magistrate Judge Drozd on June 1, 2015.		
	STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE FINAL PRETRIAL CONF., TRIAL, AND SET DEADLINES		

CASE NO. 2:10-cv-01567-WBS-DAD

19

21 22

24 25

23

26

27

28

The parties have further met and conferred regarding the issues raised in Plaintiff's motion and have stipulated to the issues on which this Court deferred ruling, including Plaintiff's request to continue the trial dates and set a schedule for expert disclosure and discovery. The parties have not resolved Plaintiff's request to re-open limited discovery, which remains before Magistrate Judge Drozd.

Good cause exists to continue the final pretrial conference and trial dates, and set an expert discovery schedule, because Plaintiffs' motion to re-open discovery will not be heard until after the existing date for disclosing expert-witness information and filing pretrial reports. As set forth in the Declaration of Andrew Chang (Dkt 75-1), the premise of Plaintiff's motion is that Plaintiff's recently appointed counsel has been unable to obtain and review all relevant and material evidence to prepare for trial despite diligent efforts to do so informally. Plaintiff's counsel is unable to complete those efforts before the June 8, 2015 pretrial conference and the August 11, 2015 trial. Good cause also exists because Plaintiff's counsel requires additional time to review that evidence and identify, retain, and disclose necessary experts. The current schedule does not allow sufficient time for Plaintiff to confirm with Plaintiff's non-CDCR health-care providers whether there has been complete collection of Plaintiff's medical records much less complete expert discovery and prepare for trial.

Based on the forgoing, Plaintiff and Defendants stipulate and respectfully request the Court enter an Order as follows:

- 1. The parties stipulate and request that the Court continue the trial date, currently scheduled for August 11, 2015, to December 15, 2015 or thereafter, subject to the Court's availability.
- 2. The parties further stipulate and request that the Court continue the Pre-Trial Conference, currently scheduled for June 8, 2015, to October 13, 2015 or thereafter, subject to the Court's availability.

1	3. Additionally, the parties stipulate and request that the Court order that the parties'	
2	expert disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(D)(i) be made on or before September 29,	
3	2015 and that expert discovery be completed on or before November 10, 2015.	
4		
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
6	DATED: May 8, 2015 Shook, Hardy & Bacon, L.L.P.	
7	DATED: May 6, 2015 Shook, Hardy & Bacon, E.E.1.	
8	By: <u>/s/ Andrew L. Chang</u> ANDREW L. CHANG	
9	Attorney for Plaintiff	
10	Ronnie E. Barron	
11	DATED, Mary 0, 2015 VANALA D. HADDIG	
12	DATED: May 8, 2015 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California CHRISTOPHER J. BECKER	
13	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
14		
15	By: /s/ Jon S. Allin (as authorized on May 7, 2015	
16	Attorneys for Defendants K. Martinez and K. Todd	
17	K. Warunez and K. Todd	
18		
19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED. The Pre-Trial Conference, currently scheduled for June 8, 2015, is	
21	continued to August 15, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. The trial date, currently scheduled for August 11, 2015,	
22	is continued to September 13, 2016 at 9:00 a.m.	
23	Dated: May 8, 2015	
24	WILLIAM B. SHUBB	
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
26		
27		
20		

28