1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	KENNETH SMITH,
11	Plaintiff, Case No. 2:10-cv-1614 GEB KJN P
12	VS.
13	CMF VACAVILLE, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by
17	the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which
18	requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.
19	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action
20	be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local
21	Rules 182(f) and 110.
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
23	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-
24	one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
25	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
26	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
	1

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: July 28, 2010
4	
5	T in a i
6	KENDALL J. NEWMAN
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8 9	smit1614.33a
9 10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2