-CKD (PC)	Abreu v. Cate et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CLIFFORD ABREAU
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1621 JAM CKD P
12	VS.
13	MATTHEW L. CATE, et al.
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with an action under 42 U.S.C. §
17	193. On November 10, 2011, plaintiff filed a motion to compel responses to discovery requests.
18	Defendants have not filed an opposition. The court will order them to show cause why the
19	motion to compel should not be granted.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants have seven days from
21	the entry of this order in which to show cause why the motion to compel (Docket No. 32) should
22	not be granted.
23	Dated: May 17, 2012
24	Caroh U. Delany
25	CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	3/abre1621.osc

Doc. 44