1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CLIFFORD ABREU,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-1621 JAM CKD P
12	VS.
13	MATTHEW L. CATE, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER DIRECTING
15	SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a state inmate, proceeds pro se with a civil rights complaint filed
18	pursuant to 41 U.S.C. § 1983 against eight remaining defendants. On November 20, 2012,
19	defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. On the same date, contemporaneously with
20	defendants' motion for summary judgment, plaintiff was advised of the requirements for
21	opposing a motion pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (Dkt. No. 56.)
22	See Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 957 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), cert. denied, 527 U.S. 1035
23	(1999); <u>Woods v. Carey</u> , 684 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2012).
24	In opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment, plaintiff has set forth
25	his own separate statement of facts containing citations to exhibits. (Dkt. No. 57.) However,
26	plaintiff has failed to comply with the portion of Local Rule 260(b) which requires him to
	1

indicate to the court which of the undisputed facts submitted by defendants that he admits to be 1 2 true, and which of the facts submitted by defendants that he disputes. In other words, Local Rule 3 260(b) requires plaintiff to respond directly to defendants' statement of undisputed facts rather 4 than submitting a new, separate statement of facts. In order to rule on the pending motion as it is 5 currently briefed, the court would have to comb through plaintiff's 20 page statement of 74 separate facts and 149 pages of accompanying exhibits in an attempt to determine which of the 6 7 undisputed facts set forth by defendants that plaintiff disputes. Although plaintiff's pro se filings are to be construed liberally by this court, it would be a misuse of limited judicial resources for 8 9 the court to rule on the motion as it is currently briefed.

10 Accordingly, plaintiff will be ordered to supplement his opposition to the 11 defendants' motion for summary judgment by responding specifically to the 30 facts set forth by defendants in their statement of undisputed facts, as Local Rule 260(b) requires.¹ To each of the 12 13 30 facts set forth by defendants, plaintiff shall state whether he admits the fact or denies the fact 14 and, for each denial, shall provide supporting citations to any pleading, affidavit, deposition, 15 interrogatory answer, admission, or other document relied upon. Plaintiff is notified that his 16 "statement of disputable facts" and exhibits A-G are already before the court (Dkt. Nos. 57, 58) 17 and will be considered by the court in ruling on defendants' motion for summary judgment once 18 plaintiff complies with this order for supplemental briefing. Accordingly, plaintiff need not (and

22

23

24

25

¹ As plaintiff was previously advised:

In accordance with Local Rule 260(a), defendants have filed a Statement of Undisputed Facts that contains discrete, specific material facts to support their entitlement to summary judgment. In response to this Statement, Local Rule 260(b) requires you to "reproduce the itemized facts in the Statement of Undisputed Facts and admit those facts that are undisputed [by you] and deny those that are disputed [by you], including with each denial a citation to the particular portions of any pleading, affidavit, deposition, interrogatory answer, admission, or other document relied upon in support of that denial.

26 (Dtk. No. 56 at 2.)

2

¹⁹ 20 21

may not) re-submit his opposition and exhibits that were already filed. Because the purpose of
 plaintiff's supplemental opposition is only for plaintiff to respond to defendants' undisputed
 facts, plaintiff's supplemental opposition will be limited to 15 pages in length, plus any necessary
 exhibits that were not already provided to the court.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within 30 days of
the date of this order, plaintiff shall file a supplemental opposition to defendants' motion for
summary judgment not to exceed 15 pages in length in which plaintiff shall respond directly to
defendants' statement of undisputed facts in the manner discussed herein. Defendants may file a
response within 14 days after service with plaintiff's supplemental opposition.

Dated: May 2, 2013

abre1621.brief

CAROLYN K. DELANEY / UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE