(HC) William	s v. Doyle
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	NATHANIEL WILLIAMS,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-08-2192 FCD EFB P
12	VS.
13	CLAUDE E. FINN,
14	Respondent.
15	/
16	NATHANIEL WILLIAMS,
17	Petitioner, No. CIV S-10-1630 JAM KJN P
18	VS.
19	ROBERT DOYLE,
20	Respondent. RELATED CASE ORDER
21	
22	Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these cases are related within the
23	meaning of Local Rule 123(a). The actions involve the same property, transaction or event and
24	similar questions of fact and the same question of law. Accordingly, assignment of the matters
25	to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial saving of judicial effort and is also likely to be
26	convenient for the parties.

Doc. 8

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that the actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the action denominated as No. CIV S-10-1630 JAM KJN P is reassigned to United States District Judge Frank C. Damrell, Jr. and Unites States Magistrate Judge Edmund F. Brennan for all further proceedings.. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as No. CIV S-10-1630 FCD EFB P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

DATED: July 20, 2010.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE