. 1	PAUL L. REIN, Esq. (SBN 43053)	20)	
2	CELIA MCGUINNESS, Esq. (SBN 1594) CATHERINE M. CABALO, Esq. (SBN 2 LAW OFFICES OF PAUL L. REIN	20) (48198)	
3	Oakland, CA 94612		
5	Telephone: 510/832-5001 Facsimile: 510/832-4787 reinlawoffice@aol.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
7	CONNIE MARTINEZ and JOSE MARTINEZ		
. 8	SCOTT E. COFER, Esa.		
9	SCOTT E. COFER, Esq. GREVE, CLIFFORD, WENGEL & PARA 2870 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 210	AS, LLP	
. 10	Sacramento, CA 95833 Telephone: 916/443-2011 Facsimile: 916/441-7457		
12	Attorneys for Defendants		
13	SCC FLORIN ROAD BINGO, SACRAM   CONSOLIDATED CHARITIES, KEVIN	ENTO BEERS,	
14	AND SHAWN MARTINEZ		
15	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	SCC Florin RAND FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	CONDUCTAGE AND TIMES 1	C N 0.10 01647 OFF COV	
18 19	CONNIE MARTINEZ and JOSE MARTINEZ,	Case No. 2:10-cv-01647 GEB-GGH Civil Rights	
20	Plaintiffs,	<u>Civii Rigius</u>	
21	V.	CONSENT DECREE AND PROPOSED ORDER AS TO	
22	SCC FLORIN ROAD BINGO; SACRAMENTO CONSOLIDATED CHARITIES:	DEFENDANTS SCC FLORIN ROAD BINGO, SACRAMENTO CONSOLUDATED CHARITIES	
23	CONSOLIDATED CHARITIES; KEVIN BEERS; SHAWN MARTINEZ; ARC PROPERTIES,	KEVIN BEERS, AND SHAWN MARTINEZ RELATED TO	
24	INC.; AND DOES 1-10, INCLUSIVE,	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF ONLY	
25	Defendants.		
26			
27   28			
	CONSENT DECREE & [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 2:10-cv-01647 GEB-GGH	endants\20110822 Consent Decree & Order RE FRB only (FINAL).doc	

Doc. 48

1. Plaintiffs CONNIE MARTINEZ and JOSE MARTINEZ (together "Plaintiffs") filed a Complaint in this action on June 28, 2010, to obtain recovery of damages for their discriminatory experiences, denial of access, and denial of their civil rights, and to enforce provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq., and California civil rights laws against Defendants SCC FLORIN ROAD BINGO; SACRAMENTO CONSOLIDATED CHARITIES; KEVIN BEERS; SHAWN MARTINEZ; and ARC PROPERTIES, INC., relating to the condition of Defendants' public accommodations as of October 4, 2009, and continuing. Plaintiffs have alleged that Defendants violated Title III of the ADA and sections 51, 52, 54, 54.1, 54.3 and 55 of the California Civil Code, and sections 19955 et seq. of the California Health & Safety Code by failing to provide full and equal access to their facilities at 2350 and 2326 Florin Road, Sacramento, California.

2. Plaintiffs and defendants SCC FLORIN ROAD BINGO;
SACRAMENTO CONSOLIDATED CHARITIES; KEVIN BEERS; SHAWN
MARTINEZ only (these defendants together hereinafter the "Defendants" and
Plaintiffs and Defendants hereinafter the "Parties") hereby enter into this Consent
Decree and Order for the purpose of resolving this lawsuit as to Defendants
without the need for protracted litigation.

3. Defendants have denied, and continue to deny, Plaintiffs' claims, and deny that Defendants have any legal or equitable responsibility for the damages alleged by Plaintiffs. After considering the substantial expense and uncertainty associated with litigation, the Parties desire to settle all claims and disputes Plaintiffs may have with the "Released Parties." The "Released Parties" shall collectively include each of Defendants and their respect employees, attorneys, agents, officers, directors, successors, predecessors, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, individuals, firms, insurance companies, reinsurance companies and third-party administrators. The Released Parties and Plaintiff shall be referred to together as the "Parties."

## JURISDICTION:

- 4. The Parties to this Consent Decree and Order agree that the Court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1331 for alleged violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. sections 12101 *et seq.*, and pursuant to supplemental jurisdiction for alleged violations of California Health & Safety Code sections 19955 *et seq.*; and California Civil Code sections 51, 52, 54, 54.1, 54.3, and 55.
- 5. In order to avoid the costs, expense, and uncertainty of protracted litigation, the Parties to this Consent Decree and Order agree to entry of this

Consent Decree and Order to resolve injunctive relief claims against Defendants raised in the Complaint filed with this Court and any claims relating to the subject facility which could have been raised in the Complaint and asserted against any of defendants SCC FLORIN ROAD BINGO; SACRAMENTO CONSOLIDATED CHARITIES; KEVIN BEERS; SHAWN MARTINEZ. Accordingly, the Parties agree to the entry of this Consent Decree and Order without trial or further adjudication of any issues of fact or law concerning Plaintiffs' injunctive relief claims against Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Parties to this Consent Decree and Order hereby agree and stipulate to the Court's entry of this Consent Decree and Order, which provides as follows:

#### SETTLEMENT OF INJUNCTIVE RELIEF:

- 6. This Consent Decree and Order shall be a full, complete, and final disposition and settlement of Plaintiffs' claims against Defendants for injunctive relief that have arisen out of the subject Complaint. Plaintiffs expressly waive any claims for any further alterations to the subject property against Defendants which could have been brought prior to the effective date of this Consent Decree.
- 7. The Parties agree and stipulate that the corrective work will be performed in compliance with the standards and specifications for disabled access

as set forth in the California Code of Regulations, Title 24-2, and Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines, unless other standards are specifically agreed to in this Consent Decree and Order.

- a) Remedial Measures: Defendants will perform corrective work at 2350 Florin Road, Sacramento, California. The corrective work agreed upon by the Parties is set forth in Attachment A, attached and incorporated herewith.

  Defendants agree to undertake all of the respective remedial work as set forth therein. Defendants further agree to policy changes set forth in Attachment B, attached and incorporated herewith.
- b) Timing of Injunctive Relief: Defendants will submit any necessary plans for the corrective work to the appropriate governmental agencies within 60 days of the entry of this Consent Decree by the Court. Defendants will commence work within 30 days of receiving approval from the appropriate agencies. Defendants will complete all work within 120 days of commencement of work. In the event that unforeseen difficulties prevent Defendants from completing any of the agreed-upon injunctive relief, Defendants or their counsel will notify Plaintiffs' counsel in writing within 15 days of discovering the delay. Defendants or their counsel will notify Plaintiffs' counsel when the corrective work is completed, and in any case will provide a status report no later than 120 days from the entry of this Consent Decree.

c) If Defendants fail to provide injunctive relief on the agreed-to timetable and/or fail to provide timely written status notification, and Plaintiffs file a motion with the Court to obtain compliance with these terms, Plaintiffs reserve the right to seek additional attorney fees for all compliance work necessitated by Defendants' failure to keep their agreement.

### DAMAGES, ATTORNEY FEES, LITIGATION EXPENSES AND COSTS:

8. The Parties have not reached any agreement regarding Plaintiffs' claims for damages, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs. These matters will be the subject of future negotiation or litigation as necessary. The Parties jointly stipulate and request that the Court not dismiss the case as these significant issues remain unresolved.

#### **ENTIRE CONSENT ORDER:**

9. This Consent Decree and Order, Attachment A, and Attachment B constitute the entire agreement between the signing Parties on the matters of injunctive relief, and no other statement, promise or agreement, either written or oral, made by any of the Parties or agents of any of the Parties that is not contained in this written Consent Decree and Order shall be enforceable regarding the matters of injunctive relief described herein. This Consent Decree and Order

applies to Plaintiffs' claims for injunctive relief only and does not resolve Plaintiffs' claims for damages, attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs, which shall be the subject of further negotiation and/or litigation. The Parties stipulate that all Parties request that the Court not dismiss the case, as issues of statutory damages, attorney fees, litigation expenses, and costs are still before the Court.

# CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER BINDING ON PARTIES AND SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST:

10. This Consent Decree and Order shall be binding on Plaintiffs and upon Defendants and any successors in interest. Defendants have a duty to so notify all such successors in interest of the existence and terms of this Consent Decree and Order during the period of the Court's jurisdiction of this Consent Decree and Order.

# MUTUAL RELEASE AND WAIVER OF CIVIL CODE SECTION 1542 AS TO INJUNCTIVE RELIEF ONLY:

11. Each of the Parties to this Consent Decree and Order understands and agrees that there is a risk and possibility that, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Decree and Order, any or all of them will incur, suffer or experience some further loss or damage with respect to the lawsuit which are unknown or unanticipated at the time this Consent Decree and Order is signed. Except for all obligations required in this Consent Decree and Order, the Parties intend that this

Consent Decree and Order apply to all such further loss with respect to the lawsuit, except those caused by the Parties subsequent to the execution of this Consent Decree and Order. Therefore, except for all obligations required in this Consent Decree and Order, this Consent Decree and Order shall apply to and cover any and all claims, demands, actions and causes of action by the Parties to this Consent Decree and Order with respect to the lawsuit, whether the same are known, unknown or hereafter discovered or ascertained, and the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code are hereby expressly waived. Section 1542 provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

This waiver applies to the injunctive relief aspects of this action only and does not include resolution of Plaintiffs' claims for damages, attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs.

12. Except for all obligations required in this Consent Decree and Order – and exclusive of the referenced continuing claims for damages, statutory attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs – each of the Parties to this Consent Decree and Order, on behalf of themselves, their respective agents, representatives, predecessors, successors, heirs, partners and assigns, releases and forever

discharges each other Party and all officers, directors, shareholders, subsidiaries, joint venturers, stockholders, partners, parent companies, employees, agents, attorneys, insurance carriers, heirs, predecessors, and representatives of each other Party, from all claims, demands, actions, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature, presently known or unknown, arising out of or in any way connected with the lawsuit.

### TERM OF THE CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER:

13. This Consent Decree and Order shall be in full force and effect for a period of eighteen (18) months after the date of entry of this Consent Decree and Order, or until the injunctive relief contemplated by this Consent Decree and Order is completed and payment for damages, attorney fees, and litigation expenses and costs is made in full, whichever occurs later. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action to enforce provisions of this Consent Decree and Order for eighteen (18) months after the date of this Consent Decree and Order, or until the injunctive relief contemplated by this Consent Decree and Order is completed and payment for damages, attorney fees, and litigation expenses and costs is made in full, whichever occurs later.

II.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CONSENT DECREE & [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case No. 2:10-cv-01647 GEB-GGH

S:\CASES\F\FLORIN RD BINGO\PLEADINGS\Consent Decree & Order\FRB Defendants\20110822 Consent Decree & Order RE FRB only (FINAL).doc

- 10 -

court to be unenforceable, the other terms of this Consent Decree and Order shall nonetheless remain in full force and effect.

If any term of this Consent Decree and Order is determined by any

## SIGNATORIES BIND PARTIES:

Signatories on the behalf of the Parties represent that they are 15. authorized to bind the Parties to this Consent Decree and Order. This Consent Decree and Order may be signed in counterparts and a facsimile signature shall have the same force and effect as an original signature.

END OF PAGE. SIGNATURES CONTINUE ON THE NEXT PAGE AND ORDER IS AT THE END OF THE DOCUMENT.

1		
2	Dated: 8-25, 2011	PLAINTIFF CONNIE MARTINEZ
3		
4		Connie Waster
5		CONNIE MARTINEZ
6		
7	Dated: $8-23-$ , 2011	PLAINTIFF JOSE MARTINEZ
8		1 ml se
9		fore falls
10		JOSE MARTINEZ
11	Dated: Aug. 37 2011	
12	Dated. <u>rvg</u> 25 , 2011	DEFENDANT SCC FLORIN ROAD BINGO
13		
14		By: Jumps Ban
15		D
16	•	Print name: Kelin Beets
17	•	Title: Vranden
18	^	
19	Dated: 17, 23, 2011	DEFENDANT SACRAMENTO
20	•	CONSOLIDATED CHARITIES
21		- v / · O
22		By: / June Dun
23		Print name: Lesw Dec 15
24		Title: Projiden
25		1,0/(0/07)
26		
27	•	
28		- 11 -
	CONSENT DECREE & [PROPOSED] ORDER	

1	Dated: 1,23,2011	DEFENDANT KEVIN BEERS
2		. \ . \
3		James Burn
4		KEVIN BEERS
5		
	Dated: <u>Aug 23</u> , 2011	DEFENDANT SHAWX MARTINEZ
6	0	80 1143
7		Charl Cartan
8		SHAWN MARTINEZ
9	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
10	THE TOTAL STATE OF THE STATE OF	
11	8/22	
12	Dated: 8/23, 2011	LAW OFFICES OF PAUL L. REIN
13		
14		1000 11 101
15		By: (Sellio 11 C)
16		Catherine M. Cabalo, Esq.
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs CONNIE MARTINEZ and JOSE MARTINEZ
17		COTTILE WHITH VEE and VOSE WHITH VEE
18		
19	Datada ( ) 1 23 2011	CDEVE OF REODE WENCEL & DADAS IIID
20	Dated: 13, 2011	GREVE, CLIFFORD, WENGEL & PARAS, LLP
21		$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}}}}}}}}}$
22		Pur William
23		By: Scott E. Cofer, Esq.
24		Attorneys for Defendants
25		SCC FLORIN ROAD BINGO;
26		SACRAMENTO CONSOLIDATED CHARITIES; KEVIN BEERS; and SHAWN
27		MARTINEZ
28		- 12 -
۵0	CONSENT DECREE & [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 2:10-cv-01647 GEB-GGH S:\CASES\F\F\LORIN RD BINGO\PLEADINGS\Consent De	eeree & Order\FRB Defendants\20110822 Consent Decree & Order RE FRB only (FINAL).doc

## **ORDER**

Pursuant to stipulation, and for good cause shown, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 25, 2011

GREGORY G. HOLLOWS

- 13 -

CONSENT DECREE &
[PROPOSED] ORDER
Case No. 2:10-cy-01647 GFB

Case No. 2:10-cv-01647 GEB-GGH
S:\CASES\F\FLORIN RD BINGO\PLEADINGS\Consent Decree & Order\FRB Defendants\20110822 Consent Decree & Order RE FRB only (FINAL).doc