1	
1	
2	
3	
4 5	
5	
0 7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOHN HENRY HART,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1672 KJM EFB PS
12	VS.
13	PAE GOVERNMENT SERVICES
14	INCORPORATED,
15	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
16	This case, in which plaintiff is proceeding pro se, is before the undersigned pursuant to
17	Eastern District of California Local Rule 302(c)(21). See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). On November
18	4, 2011, defendant filed a motion for summary judgment and noticed the motion for hearing on
19	December 7, 2011. Dckt. No. 62. However, because plaintiff filed to timely file either an
20	opposition or a statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion, on November 29, 2011, the
21	undersigned continued the hearing on defendants' motion to January 25, 2012, ordered plaintiff
22	to show cause why he should not be sanctioned for his failure, and directed plaintiff to file an
23	opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion. Dckt. No. 63.
24	Plaintiff has since filed an opposition to the motion and a response to the order to show
25	cause. Dckt. Nos. 64, 65. In light of plaintiff's response, the November 29, 2011 order to show
26	cause will be discharged. However, the hearing on defendants' motion will once again be

1

continued because plaintiff's opposition does not comply with the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure or this court's Local Rules.

3 Specifically, Local Rule 260(b) provides that "[a]ny party opposing a motion for 4 summary judgment or summary adjudication shall reproduce the itemized facts in the Statement 5 of Undisputed Facts and admit those facts that are undisputed and deny those that are disputed, including with each denial a citation to the particular portions of any pleading, affidavit, 6 7 deposition, interrogatory answer, admission, or other document relied upon in support of that 8 denial." Local Rule 260(b) further provides that "[t]he opposing party may also file a concise 9 'Statement of Disputed Facts,' and the source thereof in the record, of all additional material 10 facts as to which there is a genuine issue precluding summary judgment or adjudication," and 11 that "[t]he opposing party shall be responsible for the filing of all evidentiary documents cited in 12 the opposing papers."

13 Additionally, the court informs plaintiff of the following with respect to opposing a 14 motion for summary judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56: Such a motion is a request that the 15 court grant judgment in defendants' favor without trial. A motion for summary judgment will 16 set forth the facts that defendants assert are not reasonably subject to dispute and that entitle 17 them to judgment under applicable law. To oppose a motion for summary judgment, plaintiff 18 must show proof of his claims. To do this, he may rely upon statements made in the complaint 19 under penalty of perjury if the complaint shows that plaintiff has personal knowledge of the 20 matters stated and plaintiff specifies the parts of the complaint upon which he relies. Plaintiff 21 also may file one or more affidavits or declarations setting forth the facts that plaintiff believes 22 prove his claims, as long as the person who signs it has personal knowledge of the facts stated. 23 Plaintiff may rely on written records, but he must prove they are what he asserts them to be. 24 Plaintiff may rely on all or any part of responses to discovery propounded in this case, i.e., 25 answers to interrogatories, admissions and deposition transcripts. If plaintiff fails to contradict 26 defendants' evidence with counter-affidavits or other admissible evidence, the court may accept

2

defendants' evidence as true and grant the motion. If there is good reason why such facts are not 1 2 available to plaintiff when he is required to oppose a motion for summary judgment, the court 3 will consider a request to postpone considering the motion. If plaintiff does not file a written 4 opposition to the motion or a request to postpone consideration of it, the court may consider the 5 failure to act as a waiver of opposition to the defendants' motion. If the court grants defendants' motion, whether opposed or unopposed, judgment will be entered for defendants without a trial 6 7 and the case will be closed. 8 In light of plaintiff's pro se status, plaintiff will be given an opportunity to file a revised 9 opposition that complies with Rule 56 and this court's Local Rules. 10 Accordingly, good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 11 1. The November 29, 2011 order to show cause, Dckt. No. 63, is discharged. 12 2. The hearing on defendants' motion for summary judgment, Dckt. No. 62, is continued 13 to March 7, 2012. 14 3. On or before February 15, 2012, plaintiff shall file a revised opposition to the motion, 15 in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and Local Rule 260. 16 4. Failure of plaintiff to comply with this order may be deemed a statement of 17 non-opposition to the motion, and may result in a recommendation that the motion be granted 18 and/or that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute and for failure to comply with court 19 orders and this court's Local Rules. 20 5. Defendants may file a reply to plaintiff's opposition on or before February 22, 2012. 21 SO ORDERED. - Fil im 22 DATED: January 23, 2012. EDMUND F. BRENNAN 23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 24 25 26

3