22

23

24

25

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 William A. Kershaw (State Bar No. 057486) Email: wkershaw@kcrlegal.com C. Brooks Cutter (State Bar No. 121407) Email: bcutter@kcrlegal.com Stuart C. Talley (State Bar No. 180374) Email: stalley@kcrlegal.com John R. Parker, Jr. (State Bar No. 257761) Email: jparker@kcrlegal.com 401 Watt Avenue Sacramento, California 95864 Telephone: (916) 448-9800 		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Phillip Payne		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12		ase No	
13		ase NO	
14	Plaintiff,) C	OMPLAINT	
15) Bi	reach of Warranty	
16	APPLE INC.,		
	Defendant.)		
17) 2	EMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
18		brings this complaint against Apple Inc	
19	Plaintiff Anthony Diego ("Plaintiff") brings this complaint against Apple Inc.,		
20	("Defendant"), and alleges as follows:		
21	1. This is a breach of warranty complaint against Defendant for its production of a		

product ("Product") that is defective, and which Defendant has falsely marketed as a fullyfunctional product.

 Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times hereto has been, a resident of the State of California. He purchased Defendant's Product on or about June 15, 2010.

26
 27
 3. Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple") is a California corporation that maintains its
 27
 27
 28
 29
 29
 20
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 27
 28
 29
 29
 20
 20
 21
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 26
 27
 26
 27
 27
 28
 29
 29
 20
 20
 21
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 26
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 26
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27<

Page 1 - Complaint and Jury Demand

developed, designed, manufactured, assembled, branded, promoted, marketed, distributed and/or
 sold the Product throughout the United States.

3 4

5

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Claims arising under the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act may be brought in federal district court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 2310(d)(1).

5. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is either a 6 corporation or an association organized under the laws of California, a foreign corporation or 7 8 association authorized to do business in California and registered with the California Secretary of State, or does sufficient business in or has sufficient minimum contacts with California, or 9 10 otherwise intentionally avails itself of the California markets through the promotion, marketing, advertising and/or sales of their products and services in California to render the exercise of 11 jurisdiction by California courts permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial 12 13 justice.

14 6. Under 28 U.S.C. Section 1391, venue is proper in this District because Plaintiff is
15 a resident of this District. Moreover, a substantial portion of the acts and practices underlying
16 this Complaint occurred here.

17

18

21

(Violation of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act)

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

19 7. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every preceding paragraph as though20 fully set forth herein.

8. Plaintiff is a "consumer" within the meaning of the Magnuson-Moss Act.

9. Defendant is a "supplier" and "warrantor" within the meaning of the Magnuson-Moss Act.

24 10. The Product is a "consumer product" within the meaning of the Magnuson-Moss25 Act.

26 11. Defendant's written affirmations of fact, promises and/or descriptions as alleged
 27 herein are each a "written warranty" as to the Product as fully functional and/or there exists an
 Page 2 – Complaint and Jury Demand

implied warranty for the sale of such products within the meaning of the Magnuson-Moss Act.

12. Defendant breached these express and implied warranties, as the Product did not perform as Defendant represented or was not fit for its intended use. Defendant has refused to remedy such breaches, and its conduct caused damages to Plaintiff.

1

2

3

4

5

13. The amount in controversy meets or exceeds the sum or value of \$25.

14. As Defendant has refused all previous requests, resorting to any informal dispute 6 7 settlement procedure and/or affording Defendant another opportunity to cure these breaches of 8 warranties is unnecessary and/or futile. Any remedies available through any informal dispute settlement procedure would be inadequate under the circumstances, as Defendant has indicated 9 10 they have no desire to participate in such a process at this time. Any requirement under the Magnuson-Moss Act or otherwise that Plaintiff resort to any informal dispute settlement 11 procedure and/or afford Defendant a reasonable opportunity to cure the breach of warranties 12 described above is excused and/or has been satisfied. 13

14 15. As a result of Defendant's breaches of warranty, Plaintiff has sustained damages
15 and other losses in an amount to be determined at trial. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages,
16 specific performance, costs, attorneys' fees, rescission, and/or other relief as is deemed
17 appropriate.

18

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment and relief as follows as appropriate for theabove causes of action:

A temporary, preliminary and/or permanent order for injunctive relief enjoining
 Defendant from pursuing the policies, acts and practices complained of herein;

23 2. A declaratory judgment stating that Defendant may not pursue the policies, acts
24 and practices complained of herein;

3. A temporary, preliminary and/or permanent order for injunctive relief requiring
Defendant to undertake an informational campaign to inform members of the general public as to
the wrongfulness of Defendant's practices;

Page 3 – Complaint and Jury Demand

1	4. An award of actual, statutory and/or exemplary damages, as appropriate for the			
2	particular Causes of Action;			
3	5.	5. An order requiring disgorgement of Defendant's ill-gotten gains by requiring the		
4	payment of restitution to Plaintiff, as appropriate;			
5	6.	Reasonable attorneys' fees;		
6	7.	All related costs of this suit;		
7	8.	Pre- and post-judgment interest; and		
8	9.	Such other and further relief as the Court may deem necessary or appropriate.		
9	JURY DEMAND			
10	Plain	Plaintiff and the Class demand a trial by jury on all claims so triable.		
11	DATED: Jui	ine 30, 2010. KER	SHAW, CUTTER & RATINOFF	
12				
13		By:_	/s Stuart C. Talley	
14			STUART C. TALLEY	
15			William A. Kershaw C. Brooks Cutter	
16			John R. Parker, Jr.	
17			401 Watt Avenue Sacramento, California 95864	
18			Telephone: (916) 448-9800	
19			Facsimile: (916) 669-4499	
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
	Page 4 – Comp	plaint and Jury Demand		