Wells v. Ocy	Ocwen	
1	$1 \parallel$	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	JAMES WELLS,	
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S- 10-1702 JAM GGH PS	
12	2 vs.	
13	3 OCWEN,	
14	4 Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>	
15	5/	
16	On August 23, 2010, plaintiff filed a notice of substitution of counsel, a	and on
17	August 24, 2010, the district judge approved the substitution. Both parties now appea	ring by
18	counsel, the referral to the magistrate judge is withdrawn. However, the magistrate judge shall	
19	continue to perform all duties described in Local Rule 72-302(c)(1)-(20).	
20	The motion to dismiss, previously submitted without a hearing by order	r of August
21	1 19, 2010, remains submitted before the district judge.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	DATED: August 25, 2010 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows	
24	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS	
25	5 UNITED STATES MAGISTRAT	ΓE JUDGE
26	ggh:076 Wells1702.reassign.wpd	

Doc. 17