1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ARMANDO HERRERA,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1744 GEB EFB P
12	VS.
13	GARDENER, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. He requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to
18	require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States
19	Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an
20	attorney to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v.
21	Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36
22	(9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must
23	consider the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate
24	his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560
25	F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). The court finds there are no exceptional circumstances in this
26	case.
	1

1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's March 5, 2012 motion for
2	appointment of counsel is denied.
3	1
4	Elmind to bieman
5	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2