-GGH Ell	Grove Answering Service, Inc. et al v. Hoggatt e	et al

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ELK GROVE ANSWERING SERVICE, No. 2:10-cv-01759-MCE-GGH INC.; ANNA NOTTNAGEL; RAY	
12	NOTTNAGEL; STACEY NOTTNAGEL; STEPHANIE UECKER; BRET	
13	UECKER,	
14	Plaintiffs,	
15	V. ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
16	KYMBER HOGGATT; CHARLES SCHINDLER; KELLI CLIFFORD;	
17	ELIZABETH SUTTER; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	00000	
21		
22	On February 1, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a document entitled	
23	Stipulation of Dismissal and [Proposed] Order (ECF No. 43) asking	
24	that the Court dismiss the sole remaining Defendant in this	
25 26	matter, Elizabeth Sutter, so that this case can be closed.	
20	///	
28		
20		
	1	

According to the Declaration of Kelley S. Kern filed in support 1 2 of that request, Plaintiffs' counsel made repeated attempts (both by telephone and email) to contact Defendant Sutter, who 3 represented herself in this matter in pro se, requesting that she 4 stipulate to her dismissal. According to Ms. Kern, she was 5 unable to get any response. Consequently, Ms. Kern asked that 6 the Court dismiss the case, without prejudice, as against Ms. 7 Sutter despite her failure to sign off on the stipulation. 8

9 Then, on February 3, 2011, the Court received an additional Stipulation and Proposed Order that had been signed by Ms. Sutter 10 after attempts to contact her finally proved successful. 11 The case is consequently dismissed without prejudice as to Defendant 12 Elizabeth Sutter. In addition, since the Court can now close 13 this matter in its entirety given the fully executed Stipulation, 14 the Order to Show Cause hearing scheduled for February 10, 2011 15 is hereby vacated. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the 16 17 file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: February 8, 2011

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, MR.) UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2