

1 DANIEL J. BRODERICK, Bar #89424
 Federal Defender
 2 COURTNEY FEIN
 Assistant Federal Defender, Bar #244785
 3 Designated Counsel for Service
 801 I Street, 3rd Floor
 4 Sacramento, California 95814
 916.498.5700

5 Attorney for Defendant
 6 MARIA GUTIERREZ-BLANCA

7
 8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Case No. CR.S-11-176 JAM
)	
11 Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND ORDER
)	
12 v.)	Date: February 21, 2012
)	Time: 9:30 a.m.
)	Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez
14 MARIA GUTIERREZ-BLANCA,)	
)	
15 Defendant.)	

16
 17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto through their
 18 respective counsel, MICHELLE BECKWITH, Assistant United States Attorney, attorney
 19 for Plaintiff, and COURTNEY FEIN, attorney for MARIA GUTIERREZ-BLANCA, that
 20 the status conference of February 7, 2012 be vacated and the matter be set for status
 21 conference on February 21, 2012.

22 The reason for this continuance is to allow defense counsel additional time to
 23 consult with her client and to allow the parties additional time for settlement discussion.
 24 Complex issues regarding the pre-plea advisory pre-sentence report had to be resolved
 25 before the parties could move forward with these discussions. Based upon the foregoing,
 26 the parties agree that the time under the Speedy Trial Act should be excluded from the date
 27 of signing of this order through and including February 21, 2012 pursuant to 18 U.S.C.
 28

1 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv)[reasonable time to prepare] and Local Code T4 based upon
2 continuity of counsel and defense preparation.

3
4 Dated: February 3, 2012

DANIEL BRODERICK
Federal Defender

5
6 /s/ Courtney Fein
COURTNEY FEIN
7 Assistant Federal Defender
8 Attorneys for Defendant

9 ORDER

10 UPON GOOD CAUSE SHOWN and the stipulation of all parties, it is ordered that
11 the February 7, 2012, status conference hearing be continued to February 21, 2012, at
12 9:30 a.m. Based on the representation of defense counsel and good cause appearing
13 therefrom, the Court hereby finds that the failure to grant a continuance in this case
14 would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking
15 into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court finds that the ends of justice to be
16 served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the
17 defendant in a speedy trial. It is ordered that time up to and including the February 7,
18 2012 status conference shall be excluded from computation of time within which the trial
19 of this matter must be commenced under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §
20 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv) and Local Code 26 T-4, to allow defense counsel reasonable
21 time to prepare.
22
23
24

25
26 Dated: 2/3/2012

/s/ John A. Mendez
JOHN A. MENDEZ.
United States District Court Judge