(PC) Boston v. Garcia et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	ANDRE' BOSTON,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2:10-cv-1782-KJM DAD P
12	VS.	
13	V. GARCIA, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		/
16	On April 24, 2013, plaintiff filed objections to the magistrate judge's order filed	
17	March 20, 2013, denying his motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 36(a)(6), denying his	
18	motion for a stay, and denying his request to order defendants to provide him with a copy of his	
19	deposition transcript. The court has construed the objections as a request for reconsideration.	
20	Under E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly	
21	erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds the magistrate	
22	judge's ruling was not clearly erroneous or contrary to law.	
23		
24		
25	/////	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 80

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the magistrate judge filed March 20, 2013, is affirmed.

DATED: June 27, 2013.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE