10 JERRY W. BAKER,

11 Plaintiff,

Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-1811 KJM KJN P

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**ORDER** 

12 vs.

13 SOLANO COUNTY, et al.,

14 Defendants.

Plaintiff is a former prisoner proceeding without counsel. On December 5, 2011, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff failed to timely file an opposition to the motion, and on January 12, 2012, plaintiff was directed to file an opposition within thirty days, and that failure to file an opposition would be deemed as consent to have the pending motion granted. On May 11, 2012, the court addressed plaintiff's January 25, 2012 filing, and granted plaintiff until June 11, 2012, in which to file an opposition. However, on May 25, 2012, plaintiff's copy of the May 11, 2012 order was returned as undeliverable because plaintiff was no longer in custody. On June 7, 2012, plaintiff filed a notice of change of address.

Despite the returned mail, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

However, because it appears plaintiff was re-arrested shortly after the filing of the motion for summary judgment, the court will re-serve the May 11, 2012 order, along with a copy of the motion for summary judgment. Now that plaintiff is no longer in custody, the court will grant plaintiff twenty-one days in which to file an opposition to the motion. L.R. 230(1). As noted in this court's January 12, 2012 order, plaintiff is cautioned that failure to timely file an opposition will be deemed as consent to have the: (a) pending motion granted; (b) action dismissed for lack of prosecution; and (c) action dismissed based on plaintiff's failure to comply with these rules and a court order. Such failure shall result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

As a litigant proceeding without counsel, plaintiff is responsible to diligently prosecute this action, which includes maintaining appropriate case records, timely filing changes of address, and timely meeting court deadlines. No further extensions of time will be granted.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff a copy of the May 11, 2012 order (dkt. no. 57) and defendants' December 5, 2011 motion for summary judgment (dkt. no. 52); and
- 2. On or before July 3, 2012, plaintiff shall file an opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment that complies with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Eastern District. No further extensions of time will be granted.

DATED: June 12, 2012

22

23

24

bake1811.eot2

25

26

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE