

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY R. TURNER,

Plaintiff,

No. 2: 10-cv-1848 MCE KJN P

vs.

WARDEN SALINAS, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

_____/

Pursuant to this court’s screening of plaintiff’s amended complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), the court found that the amended complaint may state cognizable claims against defendants Vertinelli, Hale and Colon, but did not state a claim against defendants Salinas, Manning, Fiung, Talisayani, Rivera, Langren, Rubeck and Farman. (Dkt. No. 15.) The court gave plaintiff the option of proceeding on his amended complaint or filing a second amended complaint that added cognizable claims against defendants Salinas, Manning, Fiung, Talisayani, Rivera, Langren, Rubeck and Farman. Plaintiff chose to proceed on his amended complaint against defendants Vertinelli, Hale and Colon, effectively choosing to terminate this action against defendants Salinas, Manning, Fiung, Talisayani, Rivera, Langren, Rubeck and Farman.

////

