1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RICKEY B. DeLONEY,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-1849 GGH P
12	VS.
13	JOHN R. RODRIGUEZ,
14	Defendant. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By order filed August 3, 2010, plaintiff was ordered to show cause, within
17	fourteen days, why his action should not be dismissed pursuant to the Younger abstention
18	doctrine. The fourteen day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not shown cause or
19	otherwise responded to the court's order.
20	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
21	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). The Clerk of Court is directed to assign a
22	district judge to this case.
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
24	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
25	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
26	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
	Deale

1	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
2	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
3	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
4	DATED: September 15, 2010
5	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	GGH:035 delo1849.fsc
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20	
	2
I	1

I

I