I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CLARENCE LeROND WILLIAMS,	No. 2:10-cv-1873 KJM DAD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	DR. PIERRE S. HENDRICKS et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On January 15, 2014, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations addressing	
21	Dr. Fortaleza's motion to dismiss, which were served on all parties and which contained notice to	
22	all parties that any objections to the findings	and recommendations were to be filed within
23	fourteen days. Neither party has filed objecti	ons to the findings and recommendations.
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602	
25	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.	
26	See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having carefully	
27	reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record	
28	and by the proper analysis.	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed January 15, 2014, are adopted in full; and	
3	2. Defendant Fortaleza's motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 33) is granted.	
4	DATED: March 31, 2014.	
5		
6	Amile	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
27		
28	2	