1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RUBEN J. BONTTY,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-1903-JFM (PC)
12	VS.
13	T. MINTON, et al., ORDER AND
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By order filed August 20, 2010, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty
17	days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired,
18	and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a district judge be assigned to this
20	matter; and
21	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
22	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
24	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
25	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
26	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
	1
	Dealer

Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: October 14, 2010. L I. Ma UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE /014;bont1903.fta