

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICARDO GIL RAMIREZ,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-10-1906 FCD GGH P

vs.

MIKE MARTEL, Warden,

Respondent.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____ /

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner challenges the 2008 decision by the California Board of Parole Hearings (BPH) finding him unsuitable for parole.

On February 4, 2011, the undersigned ordered both parties to provide briefing regarding the recent United States Supreme Court decision that found that the Ninth Circuit erred in commanding a federal review of the state’s application of state law in applying the “some evidence” standard in the parole eligibility habeas context. Swarthout v. Cooke, 502 U.S. ___, ___ S. Ct. ___, 131 S. Ct. 859, 861 (2011).¹

The parties have timely filed briefing, yet for the reasons set forth in the prior

¹ The earlier citation in the prior order was to Swarthout v. Cooke, 502 U.S. ___, ___ S. Ct. ___, 2011 WL 197627 *2 (Jan. 24, 2011)

1 order, and notwithstanding petitioner’s argument, it appears there is no federal due process
2 requirement for a “some evidence” review, thus the federal courts are precluded from a review of
3 the state court’s application of its “some evidence” standard.² A review of the petition in this
4 case demonstrates that it is entirely based on alleged violation of California’s “some evidence”
5 requirement. As respondent notes, there is no dispute that petitioner was “allowed an
6 opportunity to be heard” and “provided a statement of the reasons why parole was denied.”
7 Swarthout, at 862. Petitioner’s Exhibit A to his amended petition fully demonstrates this.
8 Amended Petition, Ex. A, copy of BPH transcript (Docket # 5-1), pp. 17-69; Dkt. # 5-2, pp. 1-84.
9 Therefore, the petition should be denied.

10 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that the petition be denied.

11 If petitioner files objections, he shall also address if a certificate of appealability
12 should issue and, if so, as to which issues. A certificate of appealability may issue under 28
13 U.S.C. § 2253 “only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a
14 constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). The certificate of appealability must “indicate
15 which specific issue or issues satisfy” the requirement. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(3).

16 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
17 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen
18 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
19 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
20 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any reply to the objections
21 shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are

22
23 ² The court notes some perversity in the result here. Loss of good-time credits, even for a
24 day, pursuant to decision at a prison disciplinary hearing, must be supported by “some evidence.”
25 Superintendent v. Hill, 472 U.S. 445, 455, 105 S.Ct. 2768 (1985). Assignment to administrative
26 segregation requires the same “some evidence” before such an assignment can be justified.
Bruce v. Ylst, 351 F.3d 1283, 1288 (9th Cir.2003). However, a denial of parole eligibility after
sometimes decades in prison, and where another opportunity for parole can be delayed for as
long as fifteen more years, requires no such protection from the federal due process standpoint.
Nevertheless, such is the state of the law.

1 advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the
2 District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 DATED: March 22, 2011 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

4 _____
GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
5 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6
7 GGH:009
rami1906.fr

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26