

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHEPARD JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-1968 GEB GGH PS

vs.

CHESTER MITCHELL, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____/

Plaintiff has filed a motion for extension of time to respond to three motions to dismiss and to serve defendant Parsons with process by publication. The record indicates that there is only one motion to dismiss currently scheduled for hearing on April 19, 2012.¹ Defendant Parsons filed a motion to dismiss on March 29, 2012, which has not been noticed for hearing. In that motion, defendant Parsons has waived formal service of process.

Plaintiff's request will cause this two year old action, which has still not progressed beyond the pleading stages, to be delayed even further. While plaintiff should be afforded a reasonable opportunity to litigate his claims, defendants have rights also, including the

¹Other motions to dismiss may have been filed, but were not set for hearing. Those defendants who desire to have motions to dismiss heard must file a notice of motion which sets the matter on the court's calendar.

