

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIDEL JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-2070 GEB EFB P

vs.

MATTHEW CATES, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_/

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On March 9 and March 16, 2011, the postal service returned documents directed to plaintiff as “undeliverable, paroled.”

A party appearing without counsel must keep the court and all parties apprised of his current address. L.R. 183(b). If mail directed to a plaintiff is returned by the postal service and plaintiff fails to notify the court and opposing parties within 63 days thereafter of his current address, the court may dismiss the action without prejudice for failure to prosecute. *Id.* More than 63 days have passed since the postal service returned the mail and plaintiff has not notified the court of his current address.

///  
///

1 Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed. *See* Fed. R.  
2 Civ. P. 41(b); L. R. 110, 183(b).

3 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
4 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days  
5 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections  
6 with the court. Such a document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s  
7 Findings and Recommendations.” Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive  
8 the right to appeal the District Court’s order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir.  
9 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).

10 DATED: May 24, 2011.

11  
12   
13 EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26