

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIDEL JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-2070 GEB EFB P

vs.

MATHEW CATES, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____/

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 20, 2011, defendants Cano, Zapata, Lopez, Petit, DeLacruz, Romero, Anices, Desimone, Zachariah, Palagummi and Aquilizan filed a motion to dismiss on the ground that plaintiff has failed to comply with the court’s orders, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of this court. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110.

In cases in which one party is incarcerated and proceeding without counsel, motions ordinarily are submitted on the record without oral argument. Local Rule 230(1). “Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be served and filed with the Clerk by the responding party not more than eighteen (18) days, plus three (3) days for mailing or electronic service, after the date of service of the motion.” *Id.* A responding party’s failure “to file written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of

1 the motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions.” *Id.*

2 Furthermore, a party’s failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules “may be
3 grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the
4 inherent power of the Court.” Local Rule 110. The court may recommend that an action be
5 dismissed with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local
6 Rules. *See Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse
7 discretion in dismissing *pro se* plaintiff’s complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an
8 amended complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d
9 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for *pro se* plaintiff’s failure to comply with local rule
10 regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

11 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, within 21 days of the date of this order,
12 plaintiff shall file either an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of no opposition.
13 Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed
14 without prejudice.

15 DATED: February 1, 2012.

16 
EDMUND F. BRENNAN
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26